

Department of Administration (DUNS No. 80-903-5728)

# 2013 Annual Action Plan Summary

# For the State's Housing, Community and Economic Development Needs

March 2013

State of Wisconsin ■ Scott Walker, Governor Department of Administration ■ Mike Huebsch, Secretary



#### INTRODUCTION

This 2013 Annual Action Plan, referred to as the Plan, covers the fourth year of the 5-Year Consolidated Plan. It represents the planning and application efforts by the State of Wisconsin to secure federal funds earmarked to meet the critical housing and community needs of the State's citizens. The funds, which are estimated at \$34,100,820, are available through four formula grant programs of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). These resources play a key role in making Wisconsin's non-entitlement communities a better place to live.

The 2013 Annual Action Plan, effective from April 1, 2013, through March 31, 2014, serves many objectives. It is the State of Wisconsin's application to HUD for the Small Cities Community Development Block Grants (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA).

The Plan promotes program coordination, sets forth priorities, and serves as a guide in coordinating the delivery of limited housing, community and economic development resources to meet the needs of various program clienteles.

Department of Administration contacts for the Plan, or specific components of the Plan are:

#### Lisa Marks, Administrator

Division of Housing 101 East Wilson Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 7970 Madison, WI 53707-7970

Telephone: (608) 267-0770 FAX: (608) 266-5381

Email: <u>Lisa.Marks@Wisconsin.gov</u>

#### Tara Baxter

Division of Housing 101 East Wilson Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 7970 Madison, WI 53707-7970 Telephone: (608) 264-8801 FAX: (608) 266-5381

Email: Tara.Baxter@Wisconsin.gov

This Summary highlights key points and overall themes, and the six main parts of the Consolidated Plan. The six parts are:

• Part I: Coordinating and Managing the Process

• Part II: Citizen Participation

Part III: Housing and Community Development Needs
 Part IV: Housing and Community Development Priorities

• Part V: Action Plan -- One-Year Use of Funds

• Part VI: Outcomes

#### Part I: Coordinating and Managing the Process

The Wisconsin Department of Commerce (responsibilities transferred to the Department of Administration in the 2011-2013 Biennial Budget) was the agency responsible for developing the Consolidated Plan and its related documents since it administered all four U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) formula programs covered by the Plan. Through an agreement with the Department of Administration, the Wisconsin Economic Development Corporation (WEDC) administered the CDBG Economic Development and Community Development programs during program year 2012.

## In Program Year 4 (2013), the Department of Administration will directly manage and administer all CDBG programs.

The Plan is submitted to HUD using the CPMP tool (an electronic template developed and supported by HUD Field Office staff). The Plan incorporates a HUD mandated outcome measurement system, consisting of overarching objectives, outcome categories and output indicators that significantly improve reporting program benefits to Congress and OMB.

Input into the development of the Consolidated Plan involved State agencies, including the Department of Administration (DOA); Department of Children and Families (DCF); Department of Corrections (DOC), Department of Health Services (DHS); Department of Public Instructions (DPI), Department of Workforce Development (DWD); Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA); and the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority (WHEDA); as well as non-profit housing providers, local governments and other private organizations and citizens.

#### **Part II: Citizen Participation**

The State consulted with a wide range of public, private and non-profit agencies in preparation of the Consolidated Plan. The State provided an extensive participatory process, which included a well publicized comprehensive online survey with 157 individuals and organization/agency responses, numerous individual and group meetings, and the receipt of several written comments from state agencies and state-wide/regional organizations for the 2010-2014 Plan

In compliance with it's Citizen Participation Plan, the Department of Administration made the Program Year 4 Action Plan available for public review and comment for 30 days prior to its submission to HUD. The Department advertised its availability by legal notice in the State's newspaper of record, the Wisconsin State Journal, on January 8<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup>, 2013. The draft Plan was available on the DOA website during the public comment period.

In addition, DOA published notices in the Wisconsin State Journal on January 2<sup>nd,</sup> 2013 and January 7th, 2013, regarding the public hearing being held on January 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013, for the Year 4 Action Plan.

At the end of the comment period, February 7, 2013, DOA determined that the draft Program Year 4 Plan required several modifications in order to appropriately respond to input received from the public and guidance provided by HUD. On Wednesday, February 6 and Friday, February 8, 2013, notices were published in the Wisconsin State Journal that indicated the public comment period had been extended to March 11, 2013. E-mail messages were also sent to units of general local government announcing the revised Plan draft and public comment extension.

DOA received nine public comments. Details of the comments and the agency responses can be found in the full version of the 2013 Annual Action Plan.

#### **Part III: Housing and Community Development Needs**

To evaluate Wisconsin's housing and community development needs, it is important to observe the historical, social, and economic factors impacting Wisconsin's residents, families, and households. Analyzing changes in population size, geographic distribution and composition along with household and family characteristics, labor force participation, income and poverty levels, racial and ethnic diversity, and the market and inventory conditions of Wisconsin housing reinforces where priorities for resource allocations continue to be most critical. In addition to the 2000 Census, estimates generated by the American Community Survey (ACS) in 2007, or the 2005-2007 ACS 3-year estimates were used where available.

The State of Wisconsin experienced a 5.8% increase in its population from 2000 to 2008, having gained 311,441 new residents (based on DOA estimates). Population growth varied geographically. Counties in the "Milwaukee Metro" (Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha) grew by only 2.53% while the 15 counties in the "Other Metro," category had the fastest population growth, increasing by 8.03%. The remaining 53 counties making up the "Balance of State" grew at 5.94%. Limiting analysis to regions that do not receive CDBG entitlements directly from HUD (often referred to as the "Wisconsin Program"), population grew at 8.3%. The fastest growing region is still the Other Metro (excluding Dane County and the Cities of Appleton, Beloit, Eau Claire, Green Bay, Janesville, Kenosha, La Crosse, Neenah, Oshkosh, Racine, Sheboygan, Superior, and Wausau) at 11.08%. Milwaukee Metro (excluding Milwaukee and Waukesha counties) follows at 8.85%. The Balance of State (excluding the City of Fond Du Lac) grew at 6.81%. The population growth rate has slowed compared to that of the 1990s, when the State expanded at a 9.42% rate for the period. The DOA projects that by 2010 he State's population will exceed 5.7 million.

The age composition of the State's population has change significantly between 2000 and 2008. Changes include (data from 2000 Census and 2007 ACS 1-year estimates):

- An increase of 356,044 (4.00%) of residents from 0 to 4 years of age;
- A decrease of 63,749 (5.36%) of residents who are 5 to 19 years old;
- A relatively small increase of 31,863 (3.00%) young adults;
- A fairly large increase of 155,328 (8.35%) of adults between the ages of 35 and 59; and
- A significant increase of 100,819 (11.11%) of adults 60 and older.

From 2000 to 2008, Wisconsin experienced fairly small changes in the composition of the state's racial and ethnic groups. Although the number of white residents of the state increased, they decreased 1.41% as a percentage of the State's total population. American Indians also experienced a decline both as a percentage of the total population and in absolute number. When entitlement cities

and counties are removed from the total, 95.50% of the population is White, 0.79% is Black or African American, 1.03% is American Indian or Alaska Native, 0.91% is Asian, 0.02% is Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, 0.82% identifies as some other race, 0.92% are of two or more races, and 2.06% are Hispanic or Latino of any race. The distribution of racial and ethnic groups varies significantly by geography.

The DHS has estimated that number of people with physical disabilities will grow to 373,654 and the number of persons with a sensory disability will grow to 163,210 in 2010. Financing for housing rehabilitation and modification to allow persons with disabilities to function independently or make homes accessible will continue to be a critical need.

According to 2007 ACS 1-year Estimates, 10.8% of Wisconsin residents fell below the poverty threshold in 2007. This is a significant increase from 1999, when it was 8.7%. Poverty among children has followed the same pattern, as it increased from 11.2% in 2000 to 14.4% in 2007.

According to the National Low Income Housing Coalition study <u>Out of Reach</u>, 2009, Publication the estimated mean (average) wage for a renter is \$12.11 per hour. In order to afford the HUD fair market rent for a two bedroom unit at this wage, a renter must work 47 hours per week, 52 weeks per year. Or working 40 hours per week year-round, a household must include 1.2 workers earning the mean renter wage in order to make the two-bedroom FMR affordable. Households in the very low- and low-income ranges have great difficulty finding adequate housing within their means that accommodates their needs.

The 2000 Census reported that 211,912 households had an income at or below 30% of MFI and that these households were the group primarily affected by housing problems where 72.9% of the renters and 75.9% of the owners had housing problems. Overall minority rental and owner households tended to have higher incidences of housing problems than White non-Hispanic households and housing problems were more prevalent in metropolitan areas.

The national housing crisis has upturned markets across the country, and Wisconsin is no exception. The number of homes sold in Wisconsin decreased 20% from 2007 to 2008 (according to the Wisconsin Realtors Association (WRA)) and building permits for new houses have been steadily decreasing since 2003 (according to data from the State of the Cities Data Systems). Foreclosure rates are very high in the Midwest and Wisconsin had the twentieth highest foreclosure rate in June of 2009. While home prices have fallen since their peak in the first quarter of 2007, the WRA reports that they are still 25.3% above price levels in the first quarter of 2001, which is more than the rate of inflation.

The large changes in both the housing market and the general economy make changes in affordability of homes in Wisconsin difficult to determine. Nationwide, there is a growing disparity between incomes and home prices. The current crisis is bringing down home prices, but as the economy suffers, more people are experiencing wage decreases or job loss. It is unclear how these two factors balance out. Further, many former homeowners or people who had planned to buy homes in the near future are returning to the rental market. This is particularly applying pressure to the low number of affordable rental units. According to the Joint Center for Housing Studies at Harvard University, nationwide, the number of rental units that are affordable to households earning \$16,000 decreased 17% between 1995 and 2005. Fair Market Rents increased in all but two counties in Wisconsin between 2005 and 2009. Meanwhile, though home prices are down, they still remain well above prehousing boom levels. In all, the current economic crisis may have made affordability a bigger problem in Wisconsin.

With the advent of predatory lending, subprime mortgages, and high unemployment rates, foreclosures have become much more common in today's housing market. According to data from the UW-

Extension, the number of foreclosures from 2007-2008 in Wisconsin increased in all counties except Langlade and Manitowoc. When looking at foreclosure cases from 2006, when housing prices began to decline, to 2008, the increase is even more drastic. Foreclosure cases increased 52% statewide, but varied from 5 counties in which cases decreased (Portage, Menominee, Price, Ashland, and Manitowoc) to increases of more than 100% in Adams and Marquette counties. The majority of counties experienced increases between 30 and 70 percent. Comparing the first two quarters of 2009 to 2008 shows that foreclosure cases keep increasing, with a 20.5% increase statewide.

Many studies predict that the number of foreclosures will continue to increase in the upcoming years both in Wisconsin and across the United States due to the amount of adjustable rate mortgages with balloon payments coming due and the increasing unemployment rates limiting one's ability to pay any bill. A study conducted by the Center for Responsible Lending estimates that 83,400 homes will be lost through foreclosure over the next four years in Wisconsin alone.

Rent contracts between tenants and landlords are severed when the owner of a rental unit files for foreclosure. Foreclosures automatically terminate most tenancies in Wisconsin. Given the financial straits of the defaulting landlord, tenants generally lose their security deposits and any prepaid rent.

#### **Part IV: Housing and Community Development Priorities**

The Consolidated Plan addresses the overall strategy for addressing a variety of housing, community, and economic development needs. The focus of the activities and strategies described in this Plan is on the evolving needs of low and moderate-income persons, including persons with special needs. A central theme throughout this Plan is the development of strategies offering flexibility and responsiveness with accountability.

This Plan clearly recognizes the efforts of the State, in partnership with other local, state and federal entities sharing common goals and interests. These other entities also include tribes, local and regional organizations or commissions and non-profit and for-profit corporations.

The Department's response to the identified housing and community development priority needs must be broad-based and sensitive to local markets that are both economically and geographically diverse, including support of shared-appreciation housing models such as land trusts.

#### Housing

Areas of special focus will include promotion of residential rehabilitation; rental assistance; and assistance for the homeless and special needs populations, with the highest priority of investment for households at the very low-income level. To address the wide variety of critical needs to expand affordable housing opportunities, resources will be directed to projects that:

- Improve affordable rental housing opportunities for extremely low-, very low-, low- and moderate-income households by providing rental assistance, supporting production of affordable rental units, including those for large families and persons with disabilities, and supporting efforts to preserve affordable rental units with expiring contracts that are at greatest risk of being converted to market rate units
- Improve homebuyer opportunities for low- and moderate-income households
- Preserve and increase the availability of safe, sanitary housing for low- and moderate-income owners and renters including the reduction of lead-based paint hazards

- Assist special subpopulations and special needs groups with shelter, housing assistance, and
  other services including homeless prevention and rapid rehousing activities, expansion of
  permanent housing and rental assistance
- Support financial and other activities designed to prevent residential foreclosures and address the consequences of vacant, abandoned or foreclosed properties
- Promote visitability and universal design in affordable housing construction, conversion, and major rehabilitation
- Endorse green building practices and use of non-toxic materials, promote energy efficient, renewable energy technologies, and bike and pedestrian friendly design in affordable housing construction, conversion, and rehabilitation
- Advance fairness and accessibility for low-income housing consumers through supporting information dissemination, enforcement and compliance with fair housing laws
- Sustain efforts to provide housing disaster relief and mitigation

#### **Public Facilities**

Non-housing community development activities include the replacement or reconstruction of deteriorating infrastructure, the construction or rehabilitation of essential community facilities such as community centers, senior centers, and facilities that provide needed public service such as clinics, libraries, and related activities. Resources are provided through grants to units of general local government (UGLGs) only and will be directed to projects that alleviate threats to public health, safety, or welfare, or reduce slum and blight, or respond to an urgent local need, and:

- Ensure the affordability of basic services that enhance community vitality
- Promote improved housing and economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income households
- Support revitalization of established neighborhoods, downtown business districts, blighted sites, and minimize sprawl by promoting reinvestment in existing community assets
- Assist capital improvement projects that support previous planning efforts and are part of a broader community development strategy that spurs new public and private investment
- Facilitate accessibility improvements in public facilities
- Encourage the use of energy efficient design, retrofitting, equipment, and bike and pedestrian oriented design
- Respond to natural and man-made disasters or catastrophic events

#### **Economic Development**

Economic development program resources are grants to UGLGs for projects that must benefit low-to moderate-income persons. The funding will be directed to projects that meet the following goals and create and expand economic opportunity in our communities, focusing on both workers and businesses:

- Encourage business investment that supports job creation through expansion
- Foster new businesses that result in job creation
- Assist businesses that are considering closing or reducing employment levels to be able to retain those positions

- Support job training and skill development
- Promote entrepreneurial development and small business start-ups
- Support incubators and microenterprises
- Support regional economic development strategies
- Respond to urgent local needs such as man-made or natural disasters that have county-wide impact

#### **General Program**

Efforts will be extended to insure maximum state coverage of program resources. Emphasis shall also be placed on enhancing local capacity building and on integrating program resources as opportunities and situations arise in disadvantaged and under-served areas.

#### Part V: Annual Plan -- One-Year Use of Funds (2013-2014)

#### Annual Plan April 1, 2013 - March 31, 2014

#### **NEW RESOURCES - ESTIMATED**

HUD formula grant estimates are based on an assumed 5.1% reduction in funding from the previous year

### HUD COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT FORMULA ALLOCATION PROGRAMS

	HUD FY13	Local		
	Authorization	Assistance	Pro Income-	
Program	Estimate	Funds	Recap Funds	Total Aid Funds
Community Development Block				
Grant (CDBG)	\$22,304,584	\$21,535,446	\$7,500,000	\$29,035,446
Community Development Aids		\$10,667,723	\$2,000,000	\$12,667,723
Economic Development Aids		\$200,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,200,000
Housing Aids		\$10,667,723	\$500,000	<i>\$11,167,723</i>
Housing Investment				
Partnership Program (HOME)	\$7,995,925	\$7,296,536	\$500,000	\$7,796,536
Emergency Solutions Grant				
(ESG)	\$3,360,508	\$3,259,693		\$3,259,693
Housing Opportunities for				
Persons With AIDS (HOPWA)	\$439,803	\$426,609		\$426,609
Subtotal HUD Formula Grants				
*	\$34,100,820	\$32,518,284	\$8,000,000	\$40,518,284

#### **CDBG Program:**

- An estimated \$29 million will be available in Federal FY'13 formula allocations, recaptured, reallocated funds, and program income
- Funds are available to "Small Cities" (local units of government that do not receive direct HUD CDBG entitlement allocations)

• In rare cases, funds may be used in entitlement areas if a project can document significant regional or statewide impact benefiting low- and moderate- income persons

#### **Community Development:**

- Approximately \$12.7 million available for Community Development activities funded with a
  combination of FY13 grant authorization funds and up to \$2,000,000 (estimate) in State
  Revolving Loan fund program income, and recaptured funds, in the form of grants to UGLGs.
- Approximately \$2 million set aside for special projects and emergency assistance. Funds will be available on a continuous application basis for special project initiatives that address affordability of critical community services where the timing of the project does not coincide with the annual competitive grant cycle or for responding to emergency community development needs necessitated by a disaster that threatens the health and safety of area residents or economic viability of the community. Any available funds may be used for responding to a natural or man-made disaster.
- Up to \$75,000 set aside for mental health services to provide gap funding, when critically needed, for the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) program. SOAR grants (including CDBG funding) are awarded through an annual competitive application process. CDBG funds (C-SOAR) will be awarded to 3-4 communities. C-SOAR grants will assist an estimated 60 persons who are homeless and living with mental illness better coordinate supportive services.
- Approximately \$2 million of program income (State Revolving Loan Fund) for the Public Facilities for Economic Development (PFED) grant program to assist local governments in financing infrastructure (water and sewer transmission and treatment, streets, curbs and sidewalks) that directly supports business expansion, increased employment opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons, and adding to municipality's tax base. PFED grants will assist 4-6 communities and create or retain approximately 200 jobs, 125 of which will be for low- and moderate-income workers. Projected activity for the PFED program will continue to be impacted by the overall economy.
- Approximately \$8.6 million will be made available through an annual competitive applications process to an estimated 17-20 local governments. Funded projects will:
  - Assist local communities in financing of public infrastructure and buildings (water and sewer transmission and treatment, streets, parks, curbs and sidewalks; handicapped access projects for municipal facilities, and municipally owned and operated public facilities) that serve primarily low- and moderate-income persons, including municipalities on the Final Funding List for the WI DNR Safe Drinking Water Program.
  - ✓ Support downtown development efforts committed to revitalizing and eliminating blight in its downtown. Funding includes slum and blight removal and infrastructure projects, as well as other Main Street type projects that are CDBG eligible activities.
  - ✓ Up to \$400,000 will be awarded to an estimated 12 to 15 communities for plans that address major local economic or community development proposals or unexpected economic activities that adversely impact the community. Grants are limited to projects that, if implemented, are CDBG eligible activities.
  - ✓ Grants will benefit an estimated 12,800 households, of whom approximately 7,000 will be of low- or moderate-income

#### **Economic Development:**

Approximately \$5.2 million set-aside for grants to UGLGs for Economic Development special
projects to fund activities allowed under federal guidelines. Every economic development
project must benefit low- to moderate-income persons. Funds will be made available to units
of general local government through an on-going application process for:

- ✓ local governments for loans to businesses in order to create or retain jobs;
- ✓ local governments for awards to businesses for training needs
- ✓ local governments for awards to businesses for capital investment or new technologies
- The Wisconsin Economic Development Corporation may provide recommendations and assistance for an estimated 5 grants which will assist in creating or retaining approximately 500 new jobs, 300 of which shall be for low- and moderate-income workers
- DOA will make grants to units of general local government for business loans that will be repaid to local non-profit economic development entities administering the local CDBG-ED revolving loan fund.

#### **Housing:**

- Approximately \$11.2 million available for Housing activities including FY13 HUD authorization funds and recaptured funds, and \$500,000 (estimate) program income
- Funds available through regional approach with grants to a lead county agency and to
  individual units of general local government that choose not to belong to a regional
  organization.
- Eligible activities: owner-occupied and renter-occupied rehabilitation, accessibility
  modifications, homestead (down-payment and closing cost assistance, combined in some
  situations with rehabilitation), new housing site development and certain acquisition costs, and
  small-scale public facilities improvements
- Up to \$500,000 set aside for special project initiatives (available on a continuous basis) for making affordable housing units newly available to low- and moderate-income households.
- Any available funds may be made available for responding to emergency housing needs necessitated by a disaster.
- CDBG Housing will assist approximately 440 households in 2013-2014

#### **HOME Program:**

- Estimated \$7.8 million available in Federal FY'13 formula allocation including program income and recaptured funds
- At least 15% HOME aid funds available to Community Based Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) for providing affordable housing units
- Up to \$3.9 million available for housing rehabilitation and homebuyer assistance
- Up to \$3.2 million available for rental housing development
- Up to \$586,000 available for tenant based rental assistance
- Up to \$78,700 available for special projects that assist communities addressing broader community development activities
- Funds disbursed by formula and through competitive processes
- Funds available statewide (except in HOME participating jurisdictions)
- Eligible activities: homeowner rehabilitation, homeowner accessibility rehabilitation, renter rehabilitation, rental housing development, home buyer assistance, home buyer lease-purchase assistance, tenant-based rental assistance, and special projects
- Continued funding of the Fresh Start initiative that provides at-risk young people with education, skills, and career direction through opportunities for meaningful service in their communities while helping construct affordable housing units for low-income families
- HOME funding will leverage an estimated \$22,000,000 in other private and public funding
- HOME funding will assist approximately 784 households in 2013-2014

#### **Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program:**

- Approximately \$3.25 million available as pass through funds to non-profit agencies and local units of government
- DOA does not directly implement program funds except for HMIS implementation
- Funds available through competitive and formula processes that emphasize local priorities (in combination with State Transitional Housing Grants and Homeless Prevention Program Grants) to local governments and nonprofit agencies; overall funds allocated on percentage basis to regions of state
- State funded Interest Bearing Real Estate Trust Accounts (IBRETA) revenues supplement homeless program funds
- Eligible activities include renovation of buildings for use as emergency shelters or transitional housing for homeless households; provision of essential services; payment of maintenance and operations; homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing.
- ESG funding will provide shelter, prevention and re-housing services to approximately 9,000 households in 2013-2014

#### **Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program:**

- \$426,600 in federal FY'13 funding available (separate allocation for Milwaukee metropolitan area)
- Funds available through competitive process
- Eligible activities: housing assistance—such as support services, rent and utility assistance, security deposits and housing counseling—aimed at preventing homelessness
- HOPWA funding will provide assistance to approximately 150 households in 2013-2014

#### **State Housing Funds - Housing Cost Reduction Initiative (HCRI):**

- Up to \$1,300,000 available annually to assist homeowners with foreclosure prevention, homebuyers with closing costs and other financial assistance
- Funds available through competitive grant process to housing authorities, Native American Indian Tribes, and for-profit and non-profit corporations throughout the state
- For 2013-2014, an estimated 380 homebuyer households will be assisted

#### **State Homeless Assistance and Homeless Prevention Funds:**

#### **State Shelter Subsidy Grants (SSSG):**

- Up to \$1,113,600 State funds available to support homeless and emergency shelter program's operations
- Eligible applicants are a county or municipal governing body or agency, for-profit entities, an Indian tribal government, a community action agency, or other private non-profit organization. Only generic emergency facilities and voucher programs are eligible.
- SSSG funds cannot exceed 50% of an agency's annual operating budget.
- Funds distributes throughout the State by formula, based on past shelter use and anticipated need, to the three "regions" of the State defined by statute (Milwaukee County, Dane County and the remainder of the State).
- For 2013-2014, an estimated 469,600 nights of shelter will be provided to 5,900 homeless and at risk of homelessness households

#### **Transitional Housing (TH) Grants:**

• Up to \$300,000 State funds available to promote the development and/or expansion of supportive housing and supportive services to assist homeless individuals and families in their transition from homelessness and to enable them to live as independently as possible.

- Funds made available in a combined application with ESG and HPP programs
- Eligible applicants are a county or municipal governing body or agency, for-profit entities, a community action agency, or other private non-profit organization.
- Eligible activities: leasing costs for facility based and non-facility based programs, operating costs of housing, supportive service costs
- In 2013-2014, an estimated 300 homeless households will be assisted

#### Homeless Prevention Program (HPP) and Critical Assistance (CA) Grants:

- Up to \$1,515,000 HPP funds available in an annual ESG-TH-HPP combined application
- \$ 282,800 CA funds available for homeless prevention activities in those areas of the State not covered by ESG-TH-HPP
- Eligible homeless prevention include: rent (including security deposit, first and last month's rent, and rent subsidy for up to 12 months); mortgage foreclosure prevention; and, utility costs (related to heat, gas, light, water, and public or private sewerage, including deposit or hook-up charges)
- In 2013-2014, HPP and CA will assist over 2,800 homeless households

#### **Interest Bearing Real Estate Trust Accounts (IBRETA)**

- Estimated \$30,000 in funds will supplement homeless program funds
- Funds generated by state law requiring banks to remit interest on real estate brokers trust accounts to the State for homeless programs

# 2010-2014 WISCONSIN CONSOLIDATED PLAN PRIORITY NEEDS SUMMARY TABLES

RENTER Large Cost Burden >50% High High Medium Me Related Substandard High High Medium Me Cost Burden >50% High High Medium Me Overcrowded High High Medium Me Related Substandard High High Medium Me Related Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Related Substandard High High Me Cost Burden >50% High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Special Needs Substandard High High Me Special Needs Substandard High High Me Cost Burden >50% High High Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Owner Needs Substandard High High Me	dium dium dium dium dium dium dium dium					
Small   Cost Burden >50%   High   High   Me   Related   Substandard   High   High   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Me   Me   Me   Me   Me   Me   M	dium dium dium dium dium dium dium dium					
Small   Cost Burden >50%   High   High   Me   Related   Substandard   High   High   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Me   Cost Burden >30%   High   Medium   Me   RENTER   Large   Cost Burden >50%   High   High   Me   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Govercrowded   High   High   Me   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   High   High   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   High   Me   Govercrowded   High   High   Me   Govercrowded   High   High   Me   Govercrowded   High   High   Me   High   Me   High   Me   High   Me   High	dium dium dium dium dium dium dium dium					
RENTER Large Cost Burden >50% High Medium Me RENTER Large Related Substandard High High Medium Me RENTER Large Related Substandard High High Medium Me Related Substandard High High Me Medium Me Related Substandard High High Me High Me Cost Burden >50% High High Me High Me High Me High Me Related Substandard High High Me Special Needs Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Ne Cost Burden >50% High Medium Me Cost Burden >50% High High Me Medium Me Overcrowded High High Me Substandard High High Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Medium Me Overcrowded High High Me Medium Me Overcrowded High High Me Medium Permanent Supportive Housing Medium Medium Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High High High High High High Hig	dium dium dium dium dium dium dium dium					
RENTER   Large   Cost Burden > 30%   High   Medium   Me   RENTER   Large   Cost Burden > 50%   High   High   Medium   Me   Related   Substandard   High   High   Me   Overcrowded   High   High   Me   Deverowded   High   High   Me   Related   Substandard   High   High   Me   Related   Cost Burden > 30%   High   High   Me   Related   Substandard   High   High   High   Me   Related   Substandard   High   High   High   Me   Related   Substandard   High   High   High   High   Related   Substandard   High   High   High   Related   Related   High   High   High   Related   Related   High   High   High   Related   Related   High   High   High   Related   High   High   High   High   Related   Related   High   High   High   Related   High   High   High   High   Related   High   High   High   High   Related   High   High   High   High   High   High	dium dium dium dium dium dium					
RENTER   Large   Related   Substandard   High   High   Me   Needstandard   High   High   Needstandard   High   High   Needstandard   High   High   Needstandard   High   Hig	dium dium dium dium dium dium					
RENTER   Large   Related   Substandard   High   High   Me   Needstandard   High   High   Needstandard   High   High   Needstandard   High   High   Needstandard   High   Hig	dium dium dium dium					
Related Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me High Me Elderly & Cost Burden > 50% High High Me Special Needs Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Substandard High High Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Medium Medium Medium Needs Assessment/outreach High High High High Medium Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High High High High Permanent Housing High High High High High High High Hig	dium dium dium					
Overcrowded High High Me  Cost Burden >30% High High Me  Elderly & Cost Burden >50% High High Me  Special Needs Substandard High High Me  Overcrowded High High Me  Overcrowded High High Me  Overcrowded High High Me  Cost Burden >50% High High Me  Me  Overcrowded High High Me  Substandard High High Me  Substandard High High Me  Overcrowded High High Me  Substandard High High Me  Friority Need Level  Priority Need Level  Families Individuals Needs  Assessment/outreach High Medium Medium  Transitional Housing Medium Medium  Permanent Supportive Housing High High High High  Permanent High High High High  Permanent High High High High  Permanent Housing High High High High  Permanent High High High High  Permanent High High High High  Permanent Housing High High High  Permanent Housing High High High  Permanent High High High	dium dium					
Elderly & Cost Burden >50% High High Me Special Needs Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Owner Standard High High Me Gost Burden >50% High Medium Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Me Overcrowded High High Me Me Overcrowded High High Me Me Medium Needs    PRIORITY HOMELESS NEEDS   Persons w/ Special Standard Standard Standard High High Medium Needs   Families Individuals Needs   Needs	dium					
Elderly & Cost Burden >50% High High Me Special Needs Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Owner Standard High High Me Gost Burden >50% High Medium Me Cost Burden >50% High High Me Medium Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Me Medium Medium Medium Needs    PRIORITY HOMELESS NEEDS   Persons w/ Special Standard Standard Standard Standard High High Medium Needs						
Special Needs Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Me Overcrowded High Medium Me Cost Burden > 50% High Medium Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Me Medium Needs NEEDS Families Individuals Needs  Assessment/outreach High High Medium Medium Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High High High Permanent Housing High High High High High High High Hig	lium					
OWNER  Cost Burden >30% High Medium Medium Me Cost Burden >50% High Medium Medium Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High High High High Medium Me						
OWNER  Cost Burden >30% High Medium Me Cost Burden >50% High High Me Substandard High High Me Overcrowded High High Me  PRIORITY HOMELESS NEEDS  Persons w/ Sp Families Individuals Needs  Assessment/outreach High High Medium Emergency Shelter High Medium Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing Permanent Housing High High High	dium					
Substandard Overcrowded High High Me Overcrowded High High Medium Needs Needs Individuals Needs Assessment/outreach High High Medium Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High High High Permanent Housing High High High High High High High Hig	dium					
PRIORITY HOMELESS NEEDS Families Families Individuals Assessment/outreach Emergency Shelter Transitional Housing Permanent Supportive Housing Permanent Housing PRIORITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS	dium					
PRIORITY HOMELESS NEEDS Families Individuals Persons w/ Sp Families Individuals Needs  Assessment/outreach High Emergency Shelter High High Medium Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High High High High High High Hig	dium					
NEEDS Families Individuals Needs  Assessment/outreach High High Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High High Permanent Housing High High High	dium					
Assessment/outreach Emergency Shelter High Medium Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing Permanent Housing High High High High High High High Hig	ecial					
Emergency Shelter High Medium Medium Transitional Housing Medium Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High Permanent Housing High High High Permanent Housing High High High PRIORITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS						
Transitional Housing Medium Medium Permanent Supportive Housing High High Permanent Housing High High High Permanent Housing High High PRIORITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS						
Permanent Supportive Housing High Permanent Housing High High High High High High High Hig						
Permanent Housing High High High  PRIORITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS						
PRIORITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS						
Priority Need INFRASTRUCTURE Priority	PRIORITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS					
	Need					
PUBLIC FACILITIES <u>Level</u> IMPROVEMENTS <u>Level</u>	<u>:1</u>					
Senior Centers Medium Flood Drainage Medium	ım					
Neighborhood Facilities Medium Water & Sewer Mediu						
Child Care Centers Medium Street Medium	ım					
Parks/Recreation Medium Sidewalk Medium						
Health Facilities Medium Sewer Medium	ım					
Parking Facilities Medium Asbestos Removal Medium	ım ım					
Planning Medium Telecommunications Medium	ım ım ım					
Other Public Facilities Medium Other Medium	ım ım ım ım					
Non Residential Historic Preservation Medium	im im im im im					
PUBLIC SERVICES Mental Health Services Medium	im im im im im					

#### Part VI: Outcome Measurement System

HUD's Consolidated Plan regulations include the requirement that "Each State provide outcome measures for activities included in its action plan in accordance with guidance to be issued by HUD." The HUD Performance Measurement System for all four HUD formula grant programs contains:

#### Three Overarching Objectives:

- **Providing Decent Housing** primarily focusing on housing activities whose purpose is to meet individual family or community affordable housing needs;
- *Creating Suitable Living Environments* focusing on activities designed to benefit communities, families, or individuals (primarily LMI persons) faced by addressing issues in their living environment; and
- *Creating Economic Opportunities* primarily relating to job creation/retention, economic development, and commercial revitalization.

#### With

#### Three Outcome Categories:

- Availability/Accessibility typically activities that make public facilities, infrastructure, services, housing, or shelter available or accessible to low- and moderate-income people;
- *Affordability* typically activities that provide affordability in a variety of ways to low- and moderate income people;
- Sustainability typically activities that are aimed at improving communities or neighborhoods, helping to make them livable or viable by providing benefit to persons of low- and moderate-income or addressing slum and blight.

The tables below summarize the results the State expects for 2013-2014. Actual outcome measurements will be captured in the HUD Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reporting.

**Providing Decent Housing Objective** 

Outcome	Activity	Indicator	Estimated 2013 Total
	New Housing Units		
Availability /	Rental Housing Development	Housing Units	135 Rental
Accessibility	Owner Housing Development	Assisted	15 Owner
	Public Infrastructure		
	• Water & sewer, street extensions, etc.,	Persons Assisted	33
	for housing development		
	Housing Rehabilitation		
	Rental Rehab	Housing Units	62 Rental
Affordability	Owner Rehab	Assisted	525 Owner
	Homebuyer Assistance		
	First-time Homebuyers	Households	212
	Downpayment, closing costs	Assisted	
	New Construction Financing		
	Rehabilitation		
Sustainability	<b>Public Site Improvements for Affordable</b>		
	Housing	Persons Assisted	30
	Neighborhood Improvements		
	(Drainage, etc.)		

**Creating Suitable Living Environment Objective** 

Outcome	Activity	Indicator	Estimated 2013 Total
	Public Facilities		
	Senior Centers	Persons Assisted	2,000
Availability /	New treatment plants		
Accessibility	Fire trucks		
	<b>Housing Assistance to Homeless Persons</b>		
	• Shelters	Households	20,092
	Rent & Security Deposits	Assisted	
	Supportive Services		
	Accessibility Improvements to Housing		
	Units	Housing Units	
	Handicapped access improvements	Assisted	180
	Slum & Blight Remediation		
	Site clearance	Communities	1
Sustainability	Site clean up	Assisted	
	Public Infrastructure		
	Water & Sewer	Persons Assisted	40,000
	• Curb &gutter, sidewalks, streets		
	Electrical		
	Public Facilities		
	Libraries	Persons Assisted	10,000
	Fire stations		
	Treatment plant upgrades		
	Special Needs Housing Assistance		
	• Rent	D	220
	Utilities	Persons Assisted	330
	Mortgage Payments		
	Local/Regional Planning Efforts	Communities	
	• Plans	Assisted	12

**Creating Economic Opportunity Objective** 

Outcome	Activity	Indicator	Estimated 2013 Total
Availability /	Public Facilities or Infrastructure for		
Accessibility	Businesses		
	• Water & sewer, streets, etc.	Jobs Created	180
	Treatment plants		
	Industrial Parks		
Affordability	Quality Job Creation or Retention	Jobs	
	Business Loans & Grants	Created/Retained	500
	Dairy Farm Job Creation	Jobs	
	Business Loans	Created/Retained	0
Sustainability	Slum & Blight Remediation for Business		
	Creation or Expansion		
	Site clearance	Jobs Created	0
	Site clean up		