

Department of Administration (DUNS No. 80-903-5728)

2011 Annual Action Plan Amendment #1 Summary

For the State's Housing, Community and Economic Development Needs

May 2012

Revised January 2013



INTRODUCTION

This 2011 Annual Action Plan, referred to as the Plan, covers the second year of the 5-Year Consolidated Plan. It represents the planning and application efforts by the State of Wisconsin to secure federal funds earmarked to meet the critical housing and community needs of the State's citizens. The funds, which total \$47,556,973, are available through four formula grant programs of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). These resources play a key role in making Wisconsin's non-entitlement communities a better place to live.

The 2011 Annual Action Plan, effective from April 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012, serves many objectives. It is the State of Wisconsin's application to HUD for the Small Cities Community Development Block Grants (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA).

The Plan promotes program coordination, sets forth priorities, and serves as a guide in coordinating the delivery of limited housing, community and economic development resources to meet the needs of various program clienteles.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Amendment #1:

DOA amended the Second Program Year Action Plan to include the Second ESG FFY2011 Allocation (\$1,982,658 Initial Allocation + \$1,115,260 Second Allocation = \$3,097,945 total FFY2011 ESG Allocation) and comply with 76 FR 75954 Interim Rule for the Emergency Solutions Grant in compliance with 24 CFR part 91 and the State's Citizen Participation Plan.

In addition, the amendment reflects the Wisconsin 2011-2013 biennial budget provisions that transferred HUD formula program (CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA) administration to the Department of Administration (DOA) with the Wisconsin Economic Development Corporation (WEDC) providing assistance and expertise on Community and Economic Development initiatives.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Revision (January 2013):

DOA updated the Second Program Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant program allocations to reflect actual award funding for 2011 HUD funds.

Department of Administration contact for the Plan, or specific components of the Plan are:

Lisa Marks, Administrator

Division of Housing 101 E. Wilson St., 5th Floor P.O. Box 7970 Madison, WI 53707-7970 Telephone: (608) 267-0770

FAX: (608) 266-8969 Email: lisa.marks@wi.gov Marty Evanson, Bureau Director

101 E. Wilson St., 5th Floor P.O. Box 7970

Madison, WI 53707-7970 Telephone: (608) 267-2713 FAX: (608) 266-8969

Email: marty.evanson@wi.gov

This Summary highlights key points and overall themes, and the six main parts of the Consolidated Plan. The six parts are:

• Part I: Coordinating and Managing the Process

• Part II: Citizen Participation

Part III: Housing and Community Development Needs
 Part IV: Housing and Community Development Priorities

• Part V: Action Plan -- One-Year Use of Funds

• Part VI: Outcomes

Part I: Coordinating and Managing the Process

The Wisconsin Department of Commerce (responsibilities transferred to Department of Administration in the 2011-2013 Biennial Budget) is the agency responsible for developing the Consolidated Plan and its related documents since it administers all four U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) formula programs covered by the Plan.

The Plan is submitted to HUD using the CPMP tool (an electronic template developed and supported by HUD Field Office staff). The Plan incorporates a HUD mandated outcome measurement system, consisting of overarching objectives, outcome categories and output indicators that significantly improves reporting program benefits to Congress and OMB.

Input into the development of the Consolidated Plan involved State agencies, including the Department of Administration (DOA); Department of Children and Families (DCF); Department of Corrections (DOC), Department of Health Services (DHS); Department of Public Instructions (DPI), Department of Workforce Development (DWD); Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA); and the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority (WHEDA); as well as non-profit housing providers, local governments and other private organizations and citizens.

Part II: Citizen Participation

The State has consulted with a wide range of public, private and non-profit agencies in preparation of the Consolidated Plan. The State provided an extensive participatory process, which included a well publicized comprehensive online survey with 157 individuals and organization/agency responses, numerous individual and group meetings, and the receipt of several written comments from state agencies and state-wide/regional organizations for the 2010-2014 Plan

On December 23 and 30, 2010, Commerce published a notice in the Wisconsin State Journal regarding the public hearing being held on January 7, 2011. No individuals registered at the public hearing. On January 7, 2011, Commerce published a notice in the Wisconsin State Journal regarding the 30 day public comment period for the draft Plan that was available on the Commerce website. Commerce provided a reasonable number of free copies of the Plan, including making copies available at all major libraries; and took other actions to ensure that citizen voices were heard in the process. Any amendments to the Plan and performance reports will be subject to public review, as well.

Program Year 2 Action Plan Amendment #1:

DOH met with each Continuum of Care (COC) that receives Emergency Solutions Grant funding (Racine-Feb. 6^{th} , Madison-Feb. 7^{th} , Milwaukee-Feb. 8^{th} , and Balance Of State-Feb. 8^{th} & 9^{th}). The COCs offered comments and instruction on the funding allocation, performance measures and program design.

Overall each COC felt the state's interpretation and implementation of the HEARTH ESG was in line with their overall goals and objectives. Each meeting included discussions on policy option for determining the best way to allocate ESG funds, the development and implementation of performance measures, and policies and procedures for the operation and administration of HMIS as it relates to the new HEARTH ESG requirements.

On February 28 and March 2, 2012, DOA published a notice in the Wisconsin State Journal regarding the public hearing being held on March 6, 2012. No individuals registered at the public hearing. On March 6, 20121, DOA published a notice in the Wisconsin State Journal regarding the 30 day public comment period for the draft Plan that was available on the DOA website.

Part III: Housing and Community Development Needs

To evaluate Wisconsin's housing and community development needs, it is important to observe the historical, social, and economic factors impacting Wisconsin's residents, families, and households. Analyzing changes in population size, geographic distribution and composition along with household and family characteristics, labor force participation, income and poverty levels, racial and ethnic diversity, and the market and inventory conditions of Wisconsin housing reinforces where priorities for resource allocations continue to be most critical. In addition to the 2000 Census, estimates generated by the American Community Survey (ACS) in 2007, or the 2005-2007 ACS 3-year estimates were used where available.

The State of Wisconsin experienced a 5.8% increase in its population from 2000 to 2008, having gained 311,441 new residents (based on DOA estimates). Population growth varied geographically. Counties in the "Milwaukee Metro" (Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha) grew by only 2.53% while the 15 counties in the "Other Metro," category had the fastest population growth, increasing by 8.03%. The remaining 53 counties making up the "Balance of State" grew at 5.94%. Limiting analysis to regions that do not receive CDBG entitlements directly from HUD (often referred to as the "Wisconsin Program"), population grew at 8.3%. The fastest growing region is still the Other Metro (excluding Dane County and the Cities of Appleton, Beloit, Eau Claire, Green Bay, Janesville, Kenosha, La Crosse, Neenah, Oshkosh, Racine, Sheboygan, Superior, and Wausau) at 11.08%. Milwaukee Metro (excluding Milwaukee and Waukesha counties) follows at 8.85%. The Balance of State (excluding the City of Fond Du Lac) grew at 6.81%. The population growth rate has slowed compared to that of the 1990s, when the State expanded at a 9.42% rate for the period. The DOA projects that by 2010 he State's population will exceed 5.7 million.

The age composition of the State's population has change significantly between 2000 and 2008. Changes include (data from 2000 Census and 2007 ACS 1-year estimates):

- An increase of 356,044 (4.00%) of residents from 0 to 4 years of age;
- A decrease of 63,749 (5.36%) of residents who are 5 to 19 years old;
- A relatively small increase of 31,863 (3.00%) young adults;
- A fairly large increase of 155,328 (8.35%) of adults between the ages of 35 and 59; and
- A significant increase of 100,819 (11.11%) of adults 60 and older.

From 2000 to 2008, Wisconsin experienced fairly small changes in the composition of the state's racial and ethnic groups. Although the number of white residents of the state increased, they decreased 1.41% as a percentage of the State's total population. American Indians also experienced a decline both as a percentage of the total population and in absolute number. When entitlement cities and counties are removed from the total, 95.50% of the population is White, 0.79% is Black or African American, 1.03% is American Indian or Alaska Native, 0.91% is Asian, 0.02% is Native Hawaiian or

other Pacific Islander, 0.82% identifies as some other race, 0.92% are of two or more races, and 2.06% are Hispanic or Latino of any race. The distribution of racial and ethnic groups varies significantly by geography.

The DHS has estimated that number of people with physical disabilities will grow to 373,654 and the number of persons with a sensory disability will grow to 163,210 in 2010. Financing for housing rehabilitation and modification to allow persons with disabilities to function independently or make homes accessible will continue to be a critical need.

According to 2007 ACS 1-year Estimates, 10.8% of Wisconsin residents fell below the poverty threshold in 2007. This is a significant increase from 1999, when it was 8.7%. Poverty among children has followed the same pattern, as it increased from 11.2% in 2000 to 14.4% in 2007.

According to the National Low Income Housing Coalition study Out of Reach, 2009, Publication the estimated mean (average) wage for a renter is \$12.11 per hour. In order to afford the HUD fair market rent for a two bedroom unit at this wage, a renter must work 47 hours per week, 52 weeks per year. Or working 40 hours per week year-round, a household must include 1.2 workers earning the mean renter wage in order to make the two-bedroom FMR affordable. Households in the very low- and low-income ranges have great difficulty finding adequate housing within their means that accommodates their needs.

The 2000 Census reported that 211,912 households had an income at or below 30% of MFI and that these households were the group primarily affected by housing problems where 72.9% of the renters and 75.9% of the owners had housing problems. Overall minority rental and owner households tended to have higher incidences of housing problems than White non-Hispanic households and housing problems were more prevalent in metropolitan areas.

The national housing crisis has upturned markets across the country, and Wisconsin is no exception. The number of homes sold in Wisconsin decreased 20% from 2007 to 2008 (according to the Wisconsin Realtors Association (WRA)) and building permits for new houses have been steadily decreasing since 2003 (according to data from the State of the Cities Data Systems). Foreclosure rates are very high in the Midwest and Wisconsin had the twentieth highest foreclosure rate in June of 2009. While home prices have fallen since their peak in the first quarter of 2007, the WRA reports that they are still 25.3% above price levels in the first quarter of 2001, which is more than the rate of inflation.

The large changes in both the housing market and the general economy make changes in affordability of homes in Wisconsin difficult to determine. Nationwide, there is a growing disparity between incomes and home prices. The current crisis is bringing down home prices, but as the economy suffers, more people are experiencing wage decreases or job loss. It is unclear how these two factors balance out. Further, many former homeowners or people who had planned to buy homes in the near future are returning to the rental market. This is particularly applying pressure to the low number of affordable rental units. According to the Joint Center for Housing Studies at Harvard University, nationwide, the number of rental units that are affordable to households earning \$16,000 decreased 17% between 1995 and 2005. Fair Market Rents increased in all but two counties in Wisconsin between 2005 and 2009. Meanwhile, though home prices are down, they still remain well above prehousing boom levels. In all, the current economic crisis may have made affordability a bigger problem in Wisconsin.

With the advent of predatory lending, subprime mortgages, and high unemployment rates, foreclosures have become much more common in today's housing market. According to data from the UW-Extension, the number of foreclosures from 2007-2008 in Wisconsin increased in all counties except Langlade and Manitowoc. When looking at foreclosure cases from 2006, when housing prices began

to decline, to 2008, the increase is even more drastic. Foreclosure cases increased 52% statewide, but varied from 5 counties in which cases decreased (Portage, Menominee, Price, Ashland, and Manitowoc) to increases of more than 100% in Adams and Marquette counties. The majority of counties experienced increases between 30 and 70 percent. Comparing the first two quarters of 2009 to 2008 shows that foreclosure cases keep increasing, with a 20.5% increase statewide.

Many studies predict that the number of foreclosures will continue to increase in the upcoming years both in Wisconsin and across the United States due to the amount of adjustable rate mortgages with balloon payments coming due and the increasing unemployment rates limiting one's ability to pay any bill. A study conducted by the Center for Responsible Lending estimates that 83,400 homes will be lost through foreclosure over the next four years in Wisconsin alone.

Rent contracts between tenants and landlords are severed when the owner of a rental unit files for foreclosure. Foreclosures automatically terminate most tenancies in Wisconsin. Given the financial straits of the defaulting landlord, tenants generally lose their security deposits and any prepaid rent.

Part IV: Housing and Community Development Priorities

The Consolidated Plan addresses the overall strategy for addressing a variety of housing, community, and economic development needs. The focus of the activities and strategies described in this Plan is on the evolving needs of low and moderate-income persons, including persons with special needs. A central theme throughout this Plan is the development of strategies offering flexibility and responsiveness with accountability.

This Plan clearly recognizes the efforts of Commerce, in partnership with other local, state and federal entities sharing common goals and interests. These other entities also include tribes, local and regional organizations or commissions and non-profit and for-profit corporations.

The Department's response to the identified housing and community development priority needs must be broad-based and sensitive to local markets that are both economically and geographically diverse, including support of shared-appreciation housing models such as land trusts.

Housing

Areas of special focus will include promotion of residential rehabilitation; rental assistance; and assistance for the homeless and special needs populations, with the highest priority of investment for households at the very low-income level. To address the wide variety of critical needs to expand affordable housing opportunities, resources will be directed to projects that:

- Improve affordable rental housing opportunities for extremely low-, very low-, low- and
 moderate-income households by providing rental assistance, supporting production of affordable
 rental units, including those for large families and persons with disabilities, and supporting
 efforts to preserve affordable rental units with expiring contracts that are at greatest risk of being
 converted to market rate units
- Improve homebuyer opportunities for low- and moderate-income households
- Preserve and increase the availability of safe, sanitary housing for low- and moderate-income owners and renters including the reduction of lead-based paint hazards
- Assist special subpopulations and special needs groups with shelter, housing assistance, and other services including homeless prevention and rapid rehousing activities, expansion of permanent housing and rental assistance
- Support financial and other activities designed to prevent residential foreclosures and address the consequences of vacant, abandoned or foreclosed properties

- Promote visitability and universal design in affordable housing construction, conversion, and major rehabilitation
- Endorse green building practices and use of non-toxic materials, promote Energy Star® improvements and other energy efficient, renewable energy technologies, and bike and pedestrian friendly design in affordable housing construction, conversion, and rehabilitation
- Advance fairness and accessibility for low-income housing consumers through supporting information dissemination, enforcement and compliance with fair housing laws
- Sustain efforts to provide housing disaster relief and mitigation

Public Facilities

Non-housing community development activities include the replacement or reconstruction of deteriorating infrastructure, the construction or rehabilitation of essential community facilities such as community centers, senior centers, and facilities that provide needed public service such as clinics, libraries, and related activities. Resources will be directed to projects that alleviate threats to public health, safety, or welfare, or reduce slum and blight, or respond to an urgent local need, and:

- Ensure the affordability of basic services that enhance community vitality
- Promote improved housing and economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income households
- Support revitalization of established neighborhoods, downtown business districts, blighted sites, and minimize sprawl by promoting reinvestment in existing community assets
- Assist capital improvement projects that support previous planning efforts and are part of a broader community development strategy that spurs new public and private investment
- Facilitate accessibility improvements in public facilities
- Encourage the use of energy efficient design, retrofitting, equipment, and bike and pedestrian oriented design
- Respond to natural and man-made disasters or catastrophic events

Economic Development

Economic development program resources will be directed to projects that meet the following goals and create and expand economic opportunity in our communities, focusing on both workers and businesses, and support the overall goals to:

- Encourage business capital investment that supports job creation through expansion
- Foster new businesses that result in job creation
- Assist businesses that are considering closing or reducing employment levels to be able to retain those positions
- Support job training and skill development
- Promote entrepreneurial development and small business start-ups
- Support incubators and microenterprises
- Support regional economic development strategies
- Respond to urgent local needs such as man-made or natural disasters that have county-wide impact

General Program

Efforts will be extended to insure maximum state coverage of program resources. Emphasis shall also be placed on enhancing local capacity building and on integrating program resources as opportunities and situations arise in disadvantaged and under-served areas.

Proven program approaches as well as efforts to improve strategies and explore more efficient and effective approaches to address community needs will be pursued to maximize responsiveness.

- ➤ The Department will continue collaborative efforts with WHEDA, HUD, USDA Rural Development, US Department of Energy, and the US Department of Commerce-EDA to address special housing and community and economic development opportunities and sponsor basic training and technical assistance for local officials to increase knowledge of and access to available state and federal programs and resources.
- ➤ The Department will review current policies and procedures related to maximizing the efficient reuse of program income funds to meet critical needs. The Department will pursue modifications where redirecting resources could address needs in programs that are over subscribed or programs with critical unmet needs.

Part V: Annual Plan -- One-Year Use of Funds (2011-2012)

Annual Plan April 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012 NEW RESOURCES HUD COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT FORMULA ALLOCATION PROGRAMS

Program	HUD	Program Income/	TOTAL	Fiscal Year of
	Authorization	Recaptured Funds	FUNDS	HUD
				Authorization
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$25,705,489	\$3,788,568	\$29,494,057	FY'11
Housing ²	6,702,681	1,788,568	\$8,491,249	FY'11
Community Development ²	17,535,643	2,000,000	\$19,535,643	FY'11
Economic Development ²	596,000		\$596,000	FY'11
Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	\$11,707,267	2,000,000	\$13,707,267	FY'11
Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG)	\$ 3,097,945	0	\$ 3,097,945	FY'11
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	\$ 460,217	0	\$ 460,217	FY'11
TOTAL REVENUE-HUD CPD PROGRAMS	\$47,556,973	\$5,788,568	\$51,656,973	

 $^{^2}$ Available CDBG funds may be reallocated for the CDBG Emergency Assistance Program (CDBG-EAP) as needed.

CDBG Program:

- > \$25,705,489 available in Federal FY'11 formula allocations
- ➤ funds available to "Small Cities" (local units of government that do not receive direct HUD CDBG entitlement allocations)
- in rare cases, funds may be used in entitlement areas if a project can document significant regional or statewide impact benefiting low- and moderate- income persons

Economic Development:

- ➤ approximately \$596,000 available for Economic Development activities and potential use of program income based on allocation and utilization of funds
- > funds available through an application process to:
 - ✓ local governments for loans to businesses in order to create or retain jobs;
 - ✓ local governments for awards to businesses for training needs
 - ✓ local governments for awards to businesses for capital investment or new technologies
 - ✓ support a statewide system of entrepreneurial and microenterprise development
 - ✓ support small businesses
- ➤ an estimated 1 loan will be awarded which will assist in creating or retaining approximately 50 jobs, 26 of which shall be for low- and moderate-income workers
- ➤ grants to units of general local government for business assistance (grants, loans, or equity investments) relating to employee training, fixed asset and working capital financing, and other activities allowed under the federal guidelines. Loans will be repaid to local non-profit economic development entities administering the local CDBG-ED revolving loan fund.

Housing:

- ➤ approximately \$8.5 million available for Housing activities including FY'11 HUD authorization funds and \$1,8 million (estimate) program income and recaptured funds
- Funds available through application and random selection award process to local governments
- eligible activities: owner-occupied and renter-occupied rehabilitation, accessibility modifications, homestead (down-payment and closing cost assistance, combined in some situations with rehabilitation), new housing site development and certain acquisition costs, small-scale public facilities improvements
- > up to \$1,000,000 set aside for special project initiatives (available on a continuous basis) for making affordable housing units newly available to low- and moderate-income households.
- > any available funds may be made available for responding to emergency housing needs necessitated by a disaster.
- > CDBG Housing will assist approximately 315 households in 2011-2012

Community Development:

- ➤ approximately \$19.5 million available for Community Development activities including FY'11 HUD authorization funds and \$2 million (estimate) program income and recaptured funds
- ➤ funds available through an ongoing application process to local governments
- > funded projects relate to:

Public Facilities:

- ✓ assist local communities in financing of public infrastructure and buildings (water and sewer transmission and treatment, streets, parks, curbs and sidewalks; handicapped access projects for municipal facilities, and municipally owned and operated public facilities) that serve primarily low- and moderate-income persons
- ✓ support Main Street programs as well as active local business organization s committed to revitalizing and eliminating blight in its downtown. Funding includes slum and blight removal and infrastructure projects, as well as other Main Street type projects that are CDBG eligible activities.

- ✓ up to \$17.5 million will be awarded to an estimated 16-18 communities
- ✓ grants will benefit an estimated 16,500 households, of whom approximately 9,000 will be of low- or moderate-income

Public Facilities for Economic Development:

- ✓ assists local governments in financing infrastructure (water and sewer transmission and treatment, streets, curbs and sidewalks) that directly supports business expansion, increased employment opportunities, and adding to municipality's tax base
- ✓ up to \$3.0 million will be awarded to an estimated 8-10 communities
- ✓ grants will assist in creating or retaining approximately 300 jobs, 225 of which will be for low- and moderate-income workers
- ✓ projected activity for the program is likely to be impacted by the rate of the overall economy

Planning Grants:

- ✓ designed for specific planning program to respond to major local economic or community development proposals or unexpected economic activities that adversely impact the community
- ✓ funds available through an application process
- ✓ up to \$250,000 will be awarded to an estimated 12-15 communities
- ✓ grants are limited to planning for projects that if implemented are CDBG eligible activities

• <u>Public Services</u> – Mental Health Services:

- ✓ designed to provide gap funding, when critically needed, for the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) program to assist individuals who are homeless and living with mental illness better coordinate supportive services
- ✓ funds available through an application process
- ✓ up to \$75,000 will be awarded to an estimated 3-4 communities
- ✓ grants will assist 60 persons with mental illness that are homeless

Emergency Assistance Program

✓ any available funds may be used for responding to emergency community development and housing needs necessitated by a disaster.

HOME Program:

- estimated \$13.7 million available in Federal FY'11 formula allocation including program income and recaptured funds
- ➤ at least 15% HOME aid funds available to Community Based Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) for providing affordable housing units
- > up to \$750,000 available for special projects that assist communities addressing broader community development activities
- ➤ funds disbursed by formula and through competitive processes
- > funds available statewide (except in HOME participating jurisdictions)
- eligible activities: homeowner rehabilitation including accessibility modifications, renter rehabilitation, rental housing development, home buyer assistance, home buyer lease-purchase assistance, tenant-based rental assistance, and special projects
- > continued funding of the Fresh Start initiative that provides at-risk young people with education, skills, and career direction through opportunities for meaningful service in their communities while helping construct affordable housing units for low-income families
- ➤ HOME funding will leverage an estimated \$25,000,000 in other private and public funding
- ➤ HOME funding will assist approximately 935 households in 2011-2012

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program:

- > approximately \$2.9 million available
- ➤ funds available through competitive and formula process (in combination with State Transitional Housing Grants and Homeless Prevention Program Grants) to local governments and nonprofit agencies; overall funds allocated on percentage basis to regions of state
- > state funded Interest Bearing Real Estate Trust Accounts (IBRETA) revenues supplement homeless program funds
- ➤ eligible activities for the first allocation: renovation of buildings for use as emergency shelters or transitional housing for homeless households; provision of essential services; payment of maintenance and operations; homelessness prevention; and rapid re-housing
- > eligible activities for the second allocation: homelessness prevention; and rapid re-housing
- ➤ ESG funding will provide shelter and prevention services to approximately 11,000 households in 2011-2012

Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program:

- ➤ \$446,411 in federal FY'11 funding available (separate allocation for Milwaukee metropolitan area)
- funds available through competitive process
- ➤ eligible activities: housing assistance—such as support services, rent and utility assistance, security deposits and housing counseling—aimed at preventing homelessness
- > HOPWA funding will provide assistance to approximately 150 households in 2011-2012

State Housing Funds - Housing Cost Reduction Initiative (HCRI):

- ➤ up to \$1,300,000 available annually to assist homeowners with foreclosure prevention, homebuyers with closing costs and other financial assistance
- funds available through competitive grant process to housing authorities, Native American Indian Tribes, and for-profit and non-profit corporations throughout the state
- > for 2011-2012, an estimated 380 homebuyer households will be assisted

State Homeless Assistance and Homeless Prevention Funds:

State Shelter Subsidy Grants (SSSG):

- > up to \$1,513,600 State funds available to support homeless and emergency shelter program's operations
- eligible applicants are a county or municipal governing body or agency, for-profit entities, an Indian tribal government, a community action agency, or other private non-profit organization. Only generic emergency facilities and voucher programs are eligible.
- > SSSG funds cannot exceed 50% of an agency's annual operating budget.
- ➤ funds distributes throughout the State by formula, based on past shelter use and anticipated need, to the three "regions" of the State defined by statute (Milwaukee County, Dane County and the remainder of the State).
- ➤ for 2011-2012, an estimated 466,000 nights of shelter will be provided

Transitional Housing (TH) Grants:

- ➤ up to \$400,000 State funds available to promote the development and/or expansion of supportive housing and supportive services to assist homeless individuals and families in their transition from homelessness and to enable them to live as independently as possible.
- > funds made available in a combined application with ESG and HPP programs
- ➤ eligible applicants are a county or municipal governing body or agency, for-profit entities, a community action agency, or other private non-profit organization.

- eligible activities: leasing costs for facility based and non-facility based programs, operating costs of housing, supportive service costs
- in 2011-2012, an estimated 600 homeless households will be assisted

Homeless Prevention Program (HPP) and Critical Assistance (CA) Grants:

- > up to \$1,015,000 HPP funds available in an annual ESG-TH-HPP combined application
- > \$282,800 CA funds available for homeless prevention activities in those areas of the State not covered by ESG-TH-HPP
- ➤ eligible homeless prevention include: rent (including security deposit, first and last month's rent, and rent subsidy for up to 12 months); mortgage foreclosure prevention; and, utility costs (related to heat, gas, light, water, and public or private sewerage, including deposit or hook-up charges)
- in 2011-2012, HPP and CA will assist over 3,000 homeless households

Interest Bearing Real Estate Trust Accounts (IBRETA)

- > estimated \$20,000 in funds will supplement homeless program funds
- funds generated by state law requiring banks to remit interest on real estate brokers trust accounts to the State for homeless programs

2010-2014 WISCONSIN CONSOLIDATED PLAN PRIORITY NEEDS SUMMARY TABLES

PRIORITY HOUSING Priority Need Level						
NEEDS		% of median				51-80%
	Small Related	Cost Burden	>30%	High	Medium	Medium
		Cost Burden >50%		High	High	Medium
		Substandard		High	High	Medium
		Overcrowded	1	High	High	Medium
		Cost Burden	>30%	High	Medium	Medium
RENTER	Large Related	Cost Burden >50%		High	High	Medium
		Substandard		High	High	Medium
		Overcrowded	1	High	High	Medium
		Cost Burden	>30%	High	High	Medium
	Elderly &	Cost Burden	>50%	High	High	Medium
	Special Needs	Substandard		High	High	Medium
		Overcrowded	1	High	High	Medium
		Cost Burden	>30%	High	Medium	Medium
OWNER		Cost Burden	>50%	High	High	Medium
		Substandard		High	High	Medium
		Overcrowded	i	High	High	Medium
PRIORITY HOMELESS NEEDS Priority Need Level Persons w/ Spec			s w/ Special			
		Families		Individuals	Needs	
Assessment/outreach		High		High	High	
Emergency Shel	ter	Medium		High	Medium	
	Transitional Housing		Medium		Medium	
Permanent Supp		High		High	High	
Permanent Housing		High		High	High	
PRIORITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS						
			iority Need			
PUBLIC FACIL	LITIES	<u>Level</u>	IMPR(OVEMENTS		<u>Level</u>
Senior Centers		Medium	Flood 1	Drainage		Medium
Neighborhood F	acilities	Medium		& Sewer		Medium
Child Care Centers		Medium	Street		Medium	
Parks/Recreation		Medium	Sidewalk		Medium	
Health Facilities		Medium	Sewer		Medium	
Parking Facilities		Medium	Asbestos Removal			Medium
Planning		Medium	Telecommunications			Medium
Other Public Facilities		Medium	Other		Medium	
	Historic Preservat	ion Medium				
PUBLIC SERV Mental Health S		Medium				

Part VI: Outcome Measurement System

HUD's Consolidated Plan regulations include the requirement that "Each State provide outcome measures for activities included in its action plan in accordance with guidance to be issued by HUD." The HUD Performance Measurement System for all four HUD formula grant programs contains:

Three Overarching Objectives:

- **Providing Decent Housing** primarily focusing on housing activities whose purpose is to meet individual family or community affordable housing needs;
- *Creating Suitable Living Environments* focusing on activities designed to benefit communities, families, or individuals (primarily LMI persons) faced by addressing issues in their living environment; and
- *Creating Economic Opportunities* primarily relating to job creation/retention, economic development, and commercial revitalization.

With

Three Outcome Categories:

- Availability/Accessibility typically activities that make public facilities, infrastructure, services, housing, or shelter available or accessible to low- and moderate-income people;
- *Affordability* typically activities that provide affordability in a variety of ways to low- and moderate income people;
- Sustainability typically activities that are aimed at improving communities or neighborhoods, helping to make them livable or viable by providing benefit to persons of low- and moderate-income or addressing slum and blight.

The tables below summarize the results Commerce expects for 2011-2012. Actual outcome measurements will be captured in the HUD Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reporting.

Providing Decent Housing Objective

Outcome	Activity	Indicator	Estimated
			2011 Total
	New Housing Units		
Availability /	Rental Housing Development	Housing Units	160 Rental
Accessibility	Owner Housing Development	Assisted	55 Owner
	Public Infrastructure		
	• Water & sewer, street extensions, etc.,	Persons Assisted	20
	for housing development		
	Housing Rehabilitation		
	Rental Rehab	Housing Units	66 Rental
Affordability	Owner Rehab	Assisted	511Owner
	Homebuyer Assistance		
	First-time Homebuyers	Households	365
	Downpayment, closing costs	Assisted	
	New Construction Financing		
	Rehabilitation		
Sustainability	Public Site Improvements for Affordable		
	Housing	Persons Assisted	700
	Neighborhood Improvements		
	(Drainage, etc.)		

Creating Suitable Living Environment Objective

Outcome	Activity	Indicator	Estimated 2011 Total
	Public Facilities		2011 10001
	Senior Centers	Persons Assisted	3,000
Availability /	New treatment plants		
Accessibility	• Fire trucks		
	Housing Assistance to Homeless Persons		
	• Shelters	Households	22,800
	Rent & Security Deposits	Assisted	
	Supportive Services		
	Accessibility Improvements to Housing		
	Units	Housing Units	
	Handicapped access improvements	Assisted	75
	Slum & Blight Remediation		
	Site clearance	Communities	1
Sustainability	Site clean up	Assisted	
	Public Infrastructure		
	Water & Sewer	Persons Assisted	15,000
	• Curb &gutter, sidewalks, streets		
	Electrical		
	Public Facilities		
	Libraries	Persons Assisted	4,000
	Fire stations		
	Treatment plant upgrades		
	Special Needs Housing Assistance		
	• Rent		200
	Utilities	Persons Assisted	280
	Mortgage Payments		
	Local/Regional Planning Efforts	Communities	
	• Plans	Assisted	12

Creating Economic Opportunity Objective

Outcome	Activity	Indicator	Estimated
			2011 Total
Availability /	Public Facilities or Infrastructure for		
Accessibility	Businesses		
	• Water & sewer, streets, etc.	Jobs Created	300
	Treatment plants		
	Industrial Parks		
Affordability	Quality Job Creation or Retention	Jobs	
	Business Loans & Grants	Created/Retained	1,000
	Dairy Farm Job Creation	Jobs	
	Business Loans	Created/Retained	10
Sustainability	Slum & Blight Remediation for Business		
	Creation or Expansion		
	Site clearance	Jobs Created	10
	Site clean up		